

The Hidden Curriculum of Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education: Toward Professional Readiness, & Ethical Integration

Brandy Bippes

Associate Professor (Lecturer), Department of Writing & Rhetoric Studies,

University of Utah Asia Campus

Email brandy.bippes@utah.edu

Abstract

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in higher education is reshaping traditional approaches to learning, teaching, and professional readiness. This paper explores how institutional policies, financial investments, and industry partnerships influence both the explicit and hidden curricula in AI education at R1 universities, including Stanford, MIT, the University of Washington, and the University of Utah. By analyzing programs such as Stanford's AI4ALL and Utah's Silicon Slopes partnerships, the study highlights the dual challenges of ensuring equity and fostering ethical awareness while preparing students for AI-driven careers. It examines the hidden curriculum's role in teaching implicit lessons about collaboration, adaptability, and ethical responsibility, while addressing the disparities in access to AI resources across institutions. Furthermore, the paper connects AI integration with broader questions of authorship, agency, and ethics in disciplines like Writing and Rhetoric Studies. It concludes by proposing strategies for universities to align AI initiatives with inclusive, transparent, and equity-focused policies, ensuring graduates are prepared to navigate the complexities of an AI-driven world responsibly.

Introduction:

Artificial intelligence (AI) is rapidly transforming higher education, reshaping how students learn and educators teach. Generative AI tools such as ChatGPT and Grammarly have become central to this evolution, offering real-time feedback, streamlining writing tasks, and personalizing learning experiences. These tools influence both explicit learning objectives and implicit values embedded in the hidden curriculum. As Giroux and Penna (1979) describe, the hidden curriculum encompasses the unspoken norms and values that shape behaviors, attitudes, and professional identities within educational settings. In higher education, these implicit lessons are particularly significant as AI tools introduce new dimensions to how students

engage with ethics, technological fluency, and learning processes (Margolis, 2001; Pack & Maloney, 2023b, 2023a).

While AI tools democratize access to academic support, enhancing efficiency and reducing language-related worry, they also raise concerns about their impact on critical thinking, ethical authorship, and intellectual labor (Kubota, 2023; Stockwell, 2024). These tools operate at the intersection of explicit and hidden curricula, shaping not only students' academic outputs but also their perceptions of originality and integrity (Vo & Nguyen, 2024; Zhou et al., 2024). Mollick and Mollick (2023) suggest that the unspoken lessons imparted by generative AI tools—such as prioritizing collaboration, speed, and automation—could redefine how students view their roles as learners and professionals, creating both opportunities and challenges for higher education.

The integration of AI in education also raises questions of equity, as access to advanced tools remains uneven across institutions. Universities like Stanford, MIT, the University of Washington, and the University of Utah have made significant investments in AI education, enabling students to engage with cutting-edge technologies and interdisciplinary projects. These investments support the development of ethical responsibility and technological fluency, aligning with institutional goals to prepare students for AI-driven industries. However, disparities in resources across institutions reveal implicit messages about who is expected to succeed in these fields, underscoring the need to critically examine how AI policies and investments shape both explicit and hidden curricula.

In Writing & Rhetoric Studies, generative AI tools exemplify these dynamics. Tools like Grammarly offer iterative feedback that fosters confidence and autonomy in language learning through research assistantship, while also embedding implicit norms about efficiency and linguistic conformity (Alaqlobi et al., 2024), albeit with varying levels of reliability (Curry et al., 2024). These tools highlight how the hidden curriculum of AI influences students' engagement, especially with university research and writing, teaching them to balance polished outputs with the intellectual effort of drafting and revising collaboratively with AI.

This paper explores how inclusive AI policies and institutional investments influence the hidden curriculum in higher education. By analyzing AI policies and initiatives at Stanford, MIT, the University of Washington, and the University of Utah, it examines how these institutions balance access, equity, and ethical use of generative AI tools. Additionally, this study investigates the ways financial investments in AI programs shape both explicit learning

outcomes and the implicit lessons students absorb about professional readiness, ethics, and technological fluency. Through this analysis, the paper highlights the potential for universities to foster inclusivity, transparency, and critical engagement in AI policies, creating educational environments that prepare students with the ethical and professional skills needed to navigate an AI-driven world.

Framework for Understanding AI and the Hidden Curriculum

The concept of the hidden curriculum is central to understanding how unspoken lessons shape students' experiences, values, and behaviors. Giroux and Penna (1979) define it as the implicit norms and values embedded in educational practices that operate alongside formal instruction. These tacit lessons influence how students engage with academic and professional expectations, particularly in higher education, where institutional policies and practices shape attitudes toward ethics, technological fluency, and intellectual labor (Margolis, 2001). Recognizing how AI reshapes both explicit and hidden curricula is essential for fostering equitable, ethical, and meaningful learning environments.

The Hidden Curriculum: Unspoken Lessons in Higher Education

The hidden curriculum conveys tacit lessons that often go unnoticed but significantly shape professional competencies and ethical perspectives (Giroux & Penna, 1979). Margolis critiques how these unspoken norms are embedded within institutional structures, reinforcing hierarchies and privileging dominant cultural and professional expectations. In AI-integrated classrooms, these implicit lessons emerge in areas such as collaboration, originality, and the use of technology, subtly influencing how students perceive intellectual effort and technological fluency.

For instance, generative AI tools like ChatGPT and Grammarly, increasingly utilized in educational settings, impart more than technical skills or writing refinement. These tools embed implicit norms about speed, efficiency, and the prioritization of polished outputs over the iterative, process-oriented aspects of learning as suggested by Mollick & Mollick (2023). Stockwell (2024) highlights the risk of over-reliance on such technologies, cautioning that their instrumental use can lead to "false engagement," where students focus on completing tasks for results rather than fully engaging in the learning process. This suggests that while generative AI tools offer substantial benefits in accessibility and productivity, they may also deprioritize

the iterative and process-oriented aspects of learning, shaping students' perceptions of intellectual labor, collaboration, and ethical decision-making. The hidden curriculum of AI thus operates subtly, influencing how students approach their academic and professional responsibilities.

Phakeng (2024) provides a complementary perspective, emphasizing how the hidden curriculum can perpetuate structural inequities and marginalize non-dominant cultural practices. Reflecting on her experiences, she critiques how unspoken lessons in academic environments often compel assimilation to dominant norms, leaving alternative epistemologies devalued. In the context of AI, this critique highlights the need to critically examine how technologies might reinforce dominant linguistic and cultural practices, further embedding inequities in education. The prioritization of speed, polished outputs, and efficiency in AI tools frequently aligns with these dominant norms, potentially sidelining diverse learner experiences. Addressing these concerns requires greater transparency and intentionality in the integration of AI tools, ensuring that implicit lessons support equitable outcomes and foster inclusivity.

Generative AI: A Force in Explicit and Implicit Learning

Generative AI has emerged as a powerful educational tool, praised for its ability to enhance explicit learning outcomes such as language acquisition, academic writing, and disciplinary literacy. At the same time, these tools subtly influence students' perceptions of what constitutes intellectual work and originality. Pack and Maloney (2023) highlight how AI tools offer opportunities for scaffolding language learning and iterative improvement, yet their integration into the classroom often obscures the cognitive and creative effort underlying academic writing. From a broader perspective, tools like ChatGPT function as more than writing aids; they shape implicit lessons about technological dependency. Students who frequently rely on AI to generate ideas, rephrase arguments, or summarize texts may unconsciously adopt a view of technology as the ultimate authority in knowledge production (Kubota, 2023). This implicit lesson reflects the dual role of generative AI as both a collaborator and a potential disruptor in education. Addressing these challenges requires greater transparency and intentionality in the integration of AI tools. Educational frameworks, like those discussed by Larsen-Freeman and Anderson (2011), emphasize that the effective use of technology in language education depends on aligning it with pedagogical goals, as it is not technology itself but its application that impacts learning. They argue that technology, when thoughtfully integrated, can enhance

autonomy, social interaction, and reflection, reshaping both how and where learning occurs. In the context of AI, similar principles can guide the integration of generative technologies to balance automation with the cultivation of critical and creative skills. Similarly, creating equitable policies that promote inclusivity and ethical awareness can help ensure that the implicit lessons conveyed by generative AI support diverse learner needs and foster professional readiness.

Equity, Ethics, and the Hidden Curriculum in AI Education

The integration of AI into higher education amplifies existing concerns about equity and ethics, particularly in how the hidden curriculum shapes students' educational experiences. Kundu (2023) highlights that resource disparities among institutions can limit access to AI tools for underfunded universities, thereby constraining students' ability to develop technological fluency and critical engagement with AI. These disparities reinforce implicit hierarchies, sending unspoken messages about which students are positioned to thrive in AI-driven academic and professional environments.

Ethical questions also arise from the ways generative AI tools reshape norms around authorship and originality. Tools like ChatGPT, for instance, blur the boundaries between human and machine contributions. Pack and Maloney (2023) emphasize that these tools implicitly convey lessons about intellectual ownership and collaboration, challenging traditional notions of individual effort in academic work. Godwin-Jones (2024) situates this phenomenon within the concept of distributed agency, where learners and AI systems jointly shape outputs, raising the need for students to develop critical AI literacy to navigate this shared responsibility.

Phakeng (2024) critiques how hidden curricula in academic environments can marginalize non-dominant cultural practices and reinforce conformity to standardized norms. In the context of AI, such critiques highlight the need to examine whether these tools inadvertently reinforce biases or perpetuate inequities in access to educational opportunities. For example, prioritizing speed and polished outputs in AI applications risks sidelining alternative approaches to learning and knowledge creation, potentially excluding diverse learner perspectives. These concerns underscore the importance of fostering inclusivity and ethical awareness in AI adoption, ensuring that the implicit lessons conveyed by these technologies support equitable educational outcomes.

Implications for Policy and Practice

Understanding the hidden curriculum of AI integration requires careful attention to how institutional policies and practices shape implicit lessons about equity, ethics, and professional readiness. Investments in AI programs at resource-rich universities such as Stanford, MIT, and the University of Washington demonstrate how institutional efforts can align AI use with interdisciplinary learning and ethical training. For example, Stanford's Human-Centered AI Institute integrates ethics into AI education, fostering a balance between technical fluency and social responsibility (Generative AI Policy Guidance, 2023). Similarly, MIT's Quest for Intelligence (2024) and Schwarzman College of Computing emphasize algorithmic transparency and ethical applications of AI, preparing students for real-world challenges ("MIT Schwarzman College of Computing – MIT Ethical Technology Initiative," 2024). These initiatives illustrate how institutional policies can make the hidden curriculum explicit by embedding ethical awareness and professional readiness into AI-integrated curricula.

However, such investments also highlight disparities in access to AI education. As Kundu (2023) emphasizes, underfunded institutions frequently lack the necessary resources to integrate advanced AI tools into their curricula, which restricts students' ability to engage meaningfully with emerging technologies and the implicit norms they convey about technological fluency and professional preparation. This inequity risks perpetuating a two-tiered system in higher education, where access to state-of-the-art AI training becomes a privilege tied to institutional wealth rather than a universal standard.

To address these disparities, institutions can adopt equitable funding models and transparent policies that explicitly address the hidden curriculum. By mitigating inequities through inclusive funding practices, universities can empower students across all institutional contexts to navigate AI-driven academic and professional environments. However, current institutional policies largely reflect existing resource disparities, with resource-rich universities leading efforts to integrate AI into their curricula, ethical frameworks, and interdisciplinary initiatives. This disparity underscores the importance of examining how different institutions approach AI integration within their unique resource contexts. The following comparative analysis explores how universities such as Stanford, MIT, the University of Washington, and the University of Utah balance access, ethics, and workforce readiness, revealing the implicit messages these policies convey about equity, innovation, and professional norms.

Comparative Policy Analysis

The rapid integration of AI into higher education presents universities with the challenge of balancing academic integrity with preparing students for AI-driven industries. Policies at institutions like Stanford University, the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), the University of Washington (UW), and the University of Utah reflect varied approaches to navigating these tensions. These policies play a critical role in shaping the hidden curriculum—the unspoken norms, skills, and values essential for professional readiness in an AI-driven world.

Restrictive AI Policies and the Hidden Curriculum

Restrictive AI policies are often implemented to address academic integrity concerns, including plagiarism, unauthorized assistance, and ethical breaches in data handling. Generative AI (genAI) tools, capable of automating tasks like content creation and data analysis, raise questions about whether students bypass foundational learning processes. These policies aim to ensure students acquire genuine competencies without becoming overly reliant on automation. However, they may also limit students' exposure to real-world applications of AI, which are increasingly critical for workforce readiness.

The World Economic Forum (2024) emphasizes that leaders in genAI must align its implementation with strategic goals, focusing on improving user experiences and driving production and adoption. These efforts set benchmarks for the skills required to shape the future of AI-driven industries (Kapoor, 2024). Moreover, building a global, future-ready workforce depends on providing learners with equitable opportunities to engage with advanced technologies in ways that prepare them for evolving professional environments (Eswaran, 2024). In the context of higher education, overly restrictive AI policies risk depriving students of opportunities to develop these essential skills, potentially undermining their ability to succeed in AI-integrated careers.

This tension is closely tied to the hidden curriculum—the unspoken lessons embedded in educational practices that shape students' values and behaviors. For AI education, these implicit lessons often center on technological fluency, collaboration, and adaptability. Restrictive policies may inadvertently obscure these lessons, hindering students' readiness to navigate and contribute to AI-driven industries.

Recognizing these challenges, institutions have adopted varied approaches to integrating AI into their curricula and policies, balancing academic integrity with workforce preparation. Universities like Stanford, MIT, the University of Washington (UW), and the University of Utah offer distinct strategies that reflect their institutional priorities, financial resources, and educational philosophies. Examining these approaches highlights how institutions navigate the hidden curriculum of AI education, shaping students' implicit and explicit learning outcomes.

Institutional Approaches to AI Policies

Restrictive AI policies reveal the broader tension between upholding academic integrity and fostering workforce readiness, particularly in AI-driven industries. To navigate these challenges, institutions have adopted varied strategies that reflect their unique priorities, resources, and approaches to integrating generative AI into education. These policies play a pivotal role in shaping both explicit learning objectives and the hidden curriculum—the implicit lessons about collaboration, ethics, and technological fluency that students absorb alongside formal instruction.

Leading R1 universities, including Stanford University, the Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT), the University of Washington (UW), and the University of Utah, have implemented initiatives that highlight distinct policy approaches. These institutions balance access, ethical considerations, and interdisciplinary learning to prepare students for an AI-integrated future. Table 1 provides an overview of these universities' key initiatives and focus areas, followed by an in-depth analysis of their approaches.

Table 1

Institutional Approaches to AI Policy and Integration at R1 Universities

| University | Key Initiatives | Focus Areas | Highlights |
|----------------------------|--|--|---|
| Stanford University | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - AI Lab - Human-Centered AI (HAI) Institute - AI4ALL Outreach | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Controlled access to AI tools - Cross-disciplinary learning | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Promotes AI access for underrepresented students - Emphasizes responsible use through AI-friendly policies |
| MIT | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Quest for Intelligence - Schwarzman | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ethical AI training - Interdisciplinary AI applications | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Focus on AI ethics, transparency, and bias - Provides hands-on |

| | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | College of Computing | | experience aligned with industry standards |
| University of Washington | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - AI and Data Science Initiative - Tech Policy Lab | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Public policy - Urban planning - Healthcare applications | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Emphasizes regulatory and societal implications - Offers practical learning to address AI's policy challenges |
| University of Utah | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Kahlert School of Computing - Scientific Computing and Imaging (SCI) Institute - Silicon Slopes Partnerships | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Department-specific AI guidelines - Applied learning in healthcare and business | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Promotes flexible, department-driven policies - Supports industry-aligned training through real-world projects and internships |

Stanford University: Inclusivity and Ethical Engagement

Stanford University integrates inclusivity and equity into its AI policies through initiatives like the Human-Centered AI (HAI) Institute and AI4ALL. The HAI Institute brings together scholars from multiple disciplines to address ethical questions surrounding AI, such as bias in algorithms and the societal implications of automation. This interdisciplinary collaboration fosters a comprehensive understanding of AI's broader impacts while aligning technical training with human-centered values.

AI4ALL focuses on increasing access to AI education for underrepresented groups, offering summer programs and mentorship opportunities that inspire students to pursue careers in technology. Through this program, students engage with real-world AI tools and ethical considerations, providing early exposure to the hidden curriculum of technological fluency and equity in innovation (AI4ALL About, n.d.). These initiatives illustrate how inclusive policies make the hidden curriculum explicit, embedding lessons about societal responsibility and diversity into formal instruction, demonstrably positively affecting the student experience (Student Perspective, 2022).

Stanford's Honor Code further supports responsible engagement with AI tools by requiring students to disclose their use of generative AI, ensuring that ethical norms are maintained alongside hands-on learning experiences.

Massachusetts Institute of Technology: Ethics and Societal Applications

MIT's Schwarzman College of Computing and the Quest for Intelligence serve as cornerstones of the institution's AI policies. The Schwarzman College integrates courses on algorithmic transparency, bias mitigation, and data privacy, equipping students with tools to address ethical challenges in AI deployment. Students analyze real-world case studies, such as the unintended consequences of AI in healthcare, fostering a nuanced understanding of how technology intersects with societal needs ("MIT Schwarzman College of Computing – MIT Ethical Technology Initiative," 2024).

The Quest for Intelligence combines technical and ethical training through interdisciplinary research, bringing together experts in computer science, neuroscience, and cognitive science. Students engage in projects that explore AI's role in decision-making and governance, bridging gaps between technical fluency and societal applications. This dual emphasis ensures that students graduate with not only technical skills but also the ability to critically assess AI's impact on society, reinforcing key lessons of the hidden curriculum.

University of Washington: Societal Responsibility through AI

The University of Washington (UW) prioritizes the societal implications of AI through initiatives like the AI and Data Science Initiative and the Tech Policy Lab. These programs address real-world challenges, such as urban planning and environmental sustainability, while incorporating regulatory and ethical considerations into the curriculum. The Tech Policy Lab focuses on equipping students with the knowledge to navigate the intersection of technology and public policy, preparing them for leadership roles in fields where governance and technology converge ("University of Washington Launches Society + Technology with Focus on AI and Emerging Technologies," 2024). Policies are ultimately set by instructors at the classroom level at UW (Artificial Intelligence, n.d.).

Through interdisciplinary research and structured projects, UW connects the hidden curriculum to values like civic responsibility and collaboration. These implicit lessons enhance students'

readiness to address societal challenges while maintaining ethical accountability in AI-driven industries.

University of Utah: Industry Readiness and Ethical Foundations

The University of Utah aligns its AI policies with industry needs through partnerships with Silicon Slopes, a regional tech hub. The Kahlert School of Computing (2024) offers internships and capstone projects where students apply AI solutions to real-world challenges, such as improving healthcare diagnostics and optimizing business operations. These opportunities expose students to implicit lessons about professional collaboration, innovation, and adaptability, aligning the hidden curriculum with industry expectations. AI usage policies at the University of Utah are shaped by the Office of the Vice President for Research, the Center for Teaching Excellence, and individual departments and instructors (Martha Bradley Evans Center for Teaching Excellence, 2023; The University of Utah Department of Writing and Rhetoric Studies, 2023; University of Utah Office of the Vice President for Research, 2023a, 2023b).

Programs like the One-U Responsible AI Initiative (One-U Responsible AI Initiative, 2024) integrate ethical training into AI curricula, addressing issues like data privacy and algorithmic transparency. By combining technical proficiency with ethical awareness, these initiatives ensure that students graduate with a balanced skill set, aligning the implicit lessons of the hidden curriculum—such as accountability and societal impact—with industry readiness.

Reconciling Academic Integrity with AI Proficiency

The approaches employed by institutions like Stanford, MIT, the University of Washington (UW), and the University of Utah highlight the challenges of reconciling academic integrity with the need to prepare students for AI-integrated careers. While restrictive AI policies aim to uphold academic standards by mitigating risks like plagiarism and unauthorized assistance, they can inadvertently limit students' exposure to real-world applications of AI, hindering the development of critical skills.

Programs that integrate ethical frameworks with practical engagement, such as Stanford's AI4ALL and MIT's Quest for Intelligence, suggest a more balanced solution. These initiatives provide structured access to AI tools while embedding lessons about ethical usage and interdisciplinary collaboration. For example, Stanford's Human-Centered AI Institute

emphasizes responsible innovation by encouraging students to disclose their use of generative AI tools, fostering transparency and accountability (Generative AI Policy Guidance, 2023). Similarly, MIT emphasizes algorithmic transparency and bias mitigation, equipping students to address the ethical challenges of AI technologies. This approach ensures that students maintain technical fluency while developing the critical thinking skills needed to navigate AI's societal impacts.

This balanced approach aligns the implicit lessons of the hidden curriculum—such as collaboration, equity, and adaptability—with explicit educational goals. Programs like the University of Washington's Tech Policy Lab and the University of Utah's Silicon Slopes (Silicon Slopes and the U—Partnering in Innovating Excellence - @theU, 2024) partnerships demonstrate how interdisciplinary projects can bridge the gap between academic integrity and workforce readiness. By engaging students in real-world applications of AI, these programs reinforce the importance of ethical decision-making and professional responsibility, skills critical for navigating AI-driven industries.

Ultimately, reconciling these tensions requires institutional policies that prioritize both academic rigor and practical engagement. Ethical AI training integrated into curricula, combined with transparent and equitable access to AI tools, offers a pathway forward. Such policies not only uphold the principles of academic integrity but also ensure that students graduate with the competencies needed to address the complexities of AI in professional contexts.

These policies, however, do not exist in isolation; they are deeply influenced by the financial and institutional investments that underpin them. The scale and scope of these investments shape not only the explicit curricula but also the hidden curriculum—embedding unspoken lessons about equity, access, and interdisciplinary collaboration. By examining how institutions like Stanford, MIT, UW, and the University of Utah allocate resources to AI education, we can better understand how these investments drive both opportunities and disparities in higher education.

Investments in AI and Their Impact on the Hidden Curriculum

The significant investments made by universities to integrate artificial intelligence (AI) into their curricula, research initiatives, and infrastructure highlight their commitment to fostering workforce readiness and advancing societal progress. These investments not only provide

students with access to cutting-edge technologies but also enable the kinds of policies that balance academic integrity with workforce preparation. However, they also shape the hidden curriculum, embedding implicit lessons about equity, access, and the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration.

Institutions such as Stanford University, MIT, UW, and the University of Utah exemplify how financial and institutional investments in AI can create opportunities for students while also revealing disparities in access and outcomes. Resource-rich universities like Stanford and MIT lead the way with substantial funding. Stanford's \$1 billion Human-Centered AI (HAI) Institute supports initiatives focusing on ethical AI design and societal impact, while MIT's \$350 million Schwarzman College of Computing integrates AI ethics and industry collaboration into its curricula. Similarly, the University of Washington's Tech Policy Lab, supported by university and federal funding, addresses the societal implications of AI in fields like urban planning and healthcare. The University of Utah leverages partnerships with regional tech companies through Silicon Slopes to offer students hands-on internships and real-world applications of AI in business and healthcare industries (University of Washington, 2023; University of Utah, 2024).

While these investments support interdisciplinary and ethical AI education, disparities in institutional resources reveal inequities in how the hidden curriculum manifests across higher education. Underfunded universities may struggle to provide comparable access to advanced AI tools and mentorship opportunities, leaving students with fewer chances to develop technological fluency and professional readiness. This uneven distribution risks perpetuating a two-tiered system where access to high-quality AI training is tied to institutional wealth rather than being a universal standard.

Ultimately, the scale and scope of these investments influence not only the explicit curriculum but also the hidden curriculum. By embedding unspoken lessons about access, privilege, and collaboration into their educational practices, institutions contribute to shaping students' understanding of their roles in AI-driven industries. Examining how universities allocate resources to AI education reveals both the opportunities created and the systemic challenges that must be addressed to ensure equity in AI integration.

Expanding Access Through AI Initiatives

Investments in AI education have increasingly focused on addressing disparities in access, a critical aspect of the hidden curriculum. By creating programs aimed at underrepresented groups, universities embed implicit lessons about the value of equity, diversity, and inclusion in technological innovation. These initiatives aim to mitigate systemic barriers while fostering a broader culture of inclusivity within AI-driven fields.

Stanford University's AI4ALL program exemplifies these efforts, targeting high school students from diverse backgrounds. By providing mentorship, real-world exposure to AI tools, and early engagement with ethical questions, AI4ALL inspires future leaders to pursue careers in technology while cultivating a sense of belonging in traditionally exclusive fields. Similarly, the University of Utah's One-U Responsible AI Initiative integrates ethical and inclusive practices into its programs, emphasizing equity alongside innovation. These efforts reflect institutional priorities to prepare students for AI-driven industries while reinforcing values of fairness and access.

Despite these initiatives, disparities persist in how opportunities are distributed across institutions. Wealthier universities like Stanford and MIT have significantly greater resources to invest in outreach and inclusive programs compared to underfunded institutions. This uneven distribution of AI opportunities perpetuates inequities within the hidden curriculum, as students at resource-rich universities benefit disproportionately from advanced tools and mentorship. These disparities send unspoken messages about who is positioned to succeed in AI-related fields, underscoring the need for systemic solutions to bridge these gaps.

By funding programs that explicitly address access and inclusion, universities take important steps toward reshaping the hidden curriculum. However, scaling these efforts to reach broader, underserved populations remains critical. Expanding access requires not only institutional investments but also partnerships, transparent policies, and equitable funding models to ensure that all students, regardless of background or institutional affiliation, have the opportunity to engage meaningfully with AI education.

Institutional Investments and Access

The scale of institutional investments in AI education underscores the growing importance of aligning resources with both academic and industry demands. Stanford University's \$1 billion commitment to its Human-Centered AI (HAI) Institute exemplifies this prioritization, combining interdisciplinary research with inclusive outreach initiatives such as AI4ALL,

which aims to diversify access to AI education. Similarly, MIT's \$1.1 billion endowment for the Schwarzman College of Computing integrates AI ethics training, hands-on learning, and interdisciplinary projects, reflecting a financial commitment that supports both explicit and hidden curricula.

At UW, a \$15 million investment in the Tech Policy Lab and related initiatives highlights societal responsibility by connecting AI applications to public policy, urban planning, and healthcare. These programs equip students with technical proficiency while fostering an understanding of AI's broader societal implications. The University of Utah, leveraging a \$4.7 million funding pool through partnerships with Silicon Slopes, emphasizes workforce readiness by embedding practical experience into AI education. Students participating in these projects gain exposure to real-world AI applications, which teach implicit lessons about adaptability, collaboration, and ethical innovation.

Such financial commitments not only enable the development of explicit curricula but also shape the hidden curriculum by embedding lessons on technological fluency, professional accountability, and interdisciplinary collaboration. Institutions with larger endowments, like Stanford and MIT, set benchmarks for AI integration, offering advanced tools and initiatives that extend learning opportunities to underrepresented groups. Meanwhile, universities with more modest resources, like the University of Utah, demonstrate how regional partnerships and targeted investments can provide meaningful engagement with AI despite limited funding.

These investments are also critical for fostering industry partnerships that bridge the gap between academic learning and real-world applications. Collaborations with industry leaders provide students with hands-on experience, embedding unspoken lessons about professional expectations, adaptability, and interdisciplinary teamwork into the hidden curriculum. By strategically leveraging financial resources, universities can ensure that their AI initiatives align with the demands of an AI-driven future, fostering both technical expertise and ethical awareness in the next generation of professionals.

Industry Partnerships and Practical Learning

Collaborations between universities and industry play a pivotal role in shaping the hidden curriculum by bridging academic learning with real-world applications. At the University of Utah, partnerships with tech companies in Silicon Slopes provide students with capstone projects and internships that expose them to AI applications in healthcare, business, and

technology. These initiatives not only develop students' technical proficiency but also foster essential skills in innovation, collaboration, and adaptability—competencies critical for success in AI-driven industries.

Similarly, MIT's Quest for Intelligence connects students with industry leaders through interdisciplinary, cutting-edge projects that address real-world challenges in fields such as healthcare, finance, and public policy. Through these collaborations, students internalize unspoken professional norms, including accountability and ethical decision-making in deploying AI within high-stakes contexts. By engaging in hands-on, industry-focused projects, students gain valuable insights into the implicit expectations of AI-integrated careers, such as teamwork, resilience, and the ability to navigate ethical complexities.

These examples highlight how institutional investments in industry partnerships create learning environments that align the explicit curriculum with hidden lessons about innovation, equity, and ethical responsibility. By embedding industry collaborations into their AI initiatives, universities equip students not only for the technical demands of their professions but also with the critical and ethical awareness necessary to thrive in complex professional landscapes. Such partnerships ensure that students graduate with a deeper understanding of how AI intersects with societal and professional challenges, making the hidden curriculum an intentional part of their educational experience.

Ethical Considerations in AI Investments

While investments in AI offer substantial benefits, they also carry ethical implications that profoundly influence the hidden curriculum. Programs like MIT's focus on algorithmic transparency and Stanford's interdisciplinary approach to AI ethics address critical issues such as bias, privacy, and societal impact. These initiatives embed implicit lessons about the responsibilities of AI developers, equipping students to navigate the complexities of technological innovation with ethical awareness. For example, by analyzing real-world case studies on biased algorithms or data misuse, students internalize unspoken norms about accountability and the societal implications of their work, shaping their professional identities in AI-driven industries.

However, the increasing reliance on private-sector funding raises concerns about the potential for commercial interests to shape academic priorities. Universities may face pressure to align their research agendas with industry goals, potentially sidelining educational objectives that

prioritize equity, transparency, and societal benefit. This tension underscores the need for institutions to critically evaluate how funding sources influence both explicit curricula and the hidden curriculum, ensuring that investments reflect a commitment to ethical standards and inclusivity.

By embedding ethical considerations into their AI policies and programs, universities have the opportunity to align their financial strategies with educational values. Transparent decision-making and robust safeguards against undue corporate influence are essential to fostering an environment where students not only develop technical expertise but also cultivate a nuanced understanding of the ethical dimensions of AI innovation. These efforts ensure that the hidden curriculum reinforces values of integrity, equity, and societal responsibility, preparing students to navigate the ethical challenges of AI-driven industries.

Reconciling Access and Equity

Despite substantial investments in AI education, disparities in access remain a persistent challenge. Students at institutions with significant resources and industry connections benefit disproportionately, gaining access to advanced tools, mentorship opportunities, and industry-aligned projects that enhance both explicit and hidden curricula. These inequities send implicit messages about privilege and opportunity in AI-driven fields, reinforcing systemic barriers for students at less-funded institutions. Addressing these gaps is critical to fostering an inclusive educational landscape that prepares all students to succeed in AI-integrated careers.

Targeted initiatives, such as Stanford's AI4ALL and Utah's Silicon Slopes partnerships, illustrate how universities can address equity in AI education. Beyond diversifying access, these programs offer valuable lessons in collaboration and innovation, preparing students for leadership roles in AI-related fields. For instance, AI4ALL emphasizes early exposure to ethical AI practices, while Utah's industry-focused model provides hands-on experience that bridges academic learning and professional application. While these programs are impactful, their reach remains limited. Scaling such initiatives to underserved populations, including those in rural or underfunded urban communities, is essential for addressing broader inequities in AI education.

To bridge these gaps, universities must commit to policies and funding models that prioritize equitable access to AI education. This includes fostering regional collaborations, increasing financial support for underfunded institutions, and leveraging technology to provide remote

access to AI tools and training. By aligning AI initiatives with societal values of fairness and accessibility, universities can create a more transparent hidden curriculum that reinforces equity, collaboration, and shared responsibility in technological innovation.

Balancing Workforce Readiness and Academic Integrity

The significant investments made by universities in AI education profoundly influence the balance between academic integrity and workforce readiness. Structured programs at institutions like Stanford and MIT exemplify how hands-on learning combined with ethical training can prepare students for the complexities of AI-integrated careers. These initiatives embed implicit lessons about accountability, innovation, and professional responsibility into students' educational experiences, aligning explicit learning objectives with the hidden curriculum.

Striking this balance requires universities to carefully design policies and programs that prioritize both ethical rigor and practical engagement. Transparency in AI usage guidelines ensures that students understand the boundaries of ethical AI application, while inclusivity and equity in program access help mitigate disparities that may otherwise reinforce privilege in AI-driven industries. For example, by embedding ethical training into interdisciplinary projects, institutions can teach students to critically evaluate the societal implications of their work, equipping them with the foresight to address emerging challenges.

Ultimately, universities have the opportunity to transform the hidden curriculum into a positive force by aligning their investments and practices with educational values. When institutions emphasize equity, collaboration, and societal responsibility, they prepare students not only for the technical demands of their professions but also for the ethical complexities of navigating an AI-driven world. Through intentional policy design and equitable access to resources, universities can ensure that the hidden curriculum fosters adaptability, integrity, and innovation in the next generation of professionals.

Conclusion: Toward Ethical and Inclusive AI Integration in Higher Education

The rapid integration of AI into higher education presents transformative opportunities alongside complex challenges related to ethics, equity, and academic integrity. Institutional policies and investments at leading R1 universities—such as Stanford, MIT, the University of Washington (UW), and the University of Utah—illustrate how institutions are navigating these

priorities. These approaches reveal how AI integration influences both explicit learning objectives and the hidden curriculum, shaping students' readiness for AI-driven industries and their broader ethical understanding.

By examining these institutional efforts, this paper highlights opportunities to align AI policies with outcomes valued across disciplines, such as Writing and Rhetoric Studies, where questions of authorship, ethics, and agency intersect with technological innovation. The following recommendations underscore how AI integration can transform both explicit and hidden curricula to prepare students for an AI-driven future.

Transforming the Hidden Curriculum Through AI Accessibility

The hidden curriculum plays a crucial role in shaping students' understanding of adaptability, collaboration, and ethical responsibility. When AI policies prioritize accessibility and structured engagement, as demonstrated by Stanford's AI4ALL or UW's Tech Policy Lab, students gain deeper insights into how technological fluency intersects with societal impact. These programs make the hidden curriculum explicit by embedding ethical and interdisciplinary frameworks into AI education, offering students valuable lessons about the norms and skills required for professional and academic success.

However, overly restrictive AI policies risk narrowing the scope of the hidden curriculum by limiting students' exposure to real-world tools and scenarios. In fields like Writing and Rhetoric Studies, where rhetorical agency and critical thinking are foundational, such restrictions could hinder exploration of how emerging technologies influence communication, authorship, and collaboration. By providing guided, ethical access to AI, institutions can ensure that students not only develop technical skills but also absorb implicit lessons about innovation and responsibility, preparing them for complex professional environments.

Bridging Workforce Demands and Academic Integrity

Universities face a significant challenge in balancing workforce demands for AI proficiency with academic integrity. Programs like MIT's ethics-focused curricula and Utah's Silicon Slopes partnerships demonstrate how hands-on learning paired with ethical training can effectively prepare students for AI-driven careers. These initiatives not only teach technical skills but also instill implicit lessons about accountability, adaptability, and professional responsibility.

In Writing and Rhetoric Studies, the ability to critically evaluate AI-generated content aligns with broader goals of audience awareness, rhetorical analysis, and ethical communication. By incorporating AI into lessons that prioritize critical engagement, universities can equip students with the skills needed to navigate technological advancements thoughtfully and ethically.

Addressing Inequities in AI Integration

Persistent disparities in resources and access to AI education reflect broader inequities in higher education. Wealthy institutions like Stanford and MIT provide students with access to advanced tools, mentorship opportunities, and interdisciplinary projects, which may not be available at less-funded universities. These disparities risk perpetuating inequities in the hidden curriculum, as students at resource-rich institutions disproportionately benefit from opportunities that enhance readiness for AI-driven industries.

Programs like Stanford's AI4ALL and Utah's regional partnerships highlight the potential of targeted investments to expand access. Scaling these initiatives to reach underserved populations—such as those in rural or underfunded urban communities—remains critical. By fostering equity and inclusivity in AI education, institutions can ensure a more equitable distribution of the hidden curriculum's implicit lessons about collaboration, innovation, and professional norms.

Ethical and Transparent AI Policies

Institutional approaches to AI integration suggest that structured access to tools, interdisciplinary collaboration, and ethical training are essential for well-rounded educational experiences. For example, MIT's focus on algorithmic transparency and societal impact aligns technical education with reflective practices, helping students critically assess the broader implications of their work. Similarly, Utah's capstone projects and internships demonstrate how connecting academic learning to industry needs reinforces implicit lessons about adaptability, teamwork, and ethical responsibility.

In Writing and Rhetoric Studies, transparent AI policies help students engage thoughtfully with rhetorical and ethical complexities, fostering their development as critical communicators. By ensuring that AI policies prioritize inclusivity and transparency, universities can align both explicit and hidden curricula with the demands of an increasingly AI-driven world.

Final Thoughts: Bridging Writing, Rhetoric, and Innovation

AI integration in higher education introduces new dimensions to questions of authorship, agency, and ethics, particularly in disciplines like Writing and Rhetoric Studies. The implicit lessons of the hidden curriculum—collaboration, adaptability, and ethical responsibility—can become explicit when universities invest in inclusive AI policies and interdisciplinary programs. These efforts deepen students' understanding of how technology intersects with human agency, preparing them for roles that demand both technical fluency and ethical judgment.

As institutions continue to align AI education with industry demands and societal needs, they have the opportunity to foster environments where innovation complements critical reflection. Thoughtful integration of AI into curricula can produce graduates who are not only adept at using AI-driven technologies but also equipped to navigate their complexities with creativity, adaptability, and a strong ethical foundation. By prioritizing accessibility, equity, and transparency, universities can transform AI education into a model for preparing students to lead responsibly in an AI-driven future.

References

- AI4ALL About*. (n.d.). Stanford University Human-Centered Artificial Intelligence HAI. Retrieved November 5, 2024, from <https://hai.stanford.edu/ai4all-people>
- Alaqlobi, O., Alduais, A., Qasem, F., & Alasmari, M. (2024). Artificial intelligence in applied (linguistics): A content analysis and future prospects. *Cogent Arts & Humanities*, 11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311983.2024.2382422>
- Artificial intelligence*. (n.d.). Retrieved November 5, 2024, from https://provost.wsu.edu/artificial_intelligence/
- Curry, N., Baker, P., & Brookes, G. (2024). Generative AI for corpus approaches to discourse studies: A critical evaluation of ChatGPT. *Applied Corpus Linguistics*, 4(1), 100082. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acorp.2023.100082>
- Eswaran, V. (2024, December 16). *Aligning workforce development with changing industry needs*. World Economic Forum. <https://www.weforum.org/stories/2024/12/from-classroom-to-career-building-a-future-ready-global-workforce/>
- Generative AI policy guidance*. (2023, February 16). Stanford University. <https://communitystandards.stanford.edu/generative-ai-policy-guidance>

- Giroux, H. A., & Penna, A. N. (1979). Social education in the classroom: The dynamics of the hidden curriculum. *Theory & Research in Social Education*, 7(1), 21–42.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00933104.1979.10506048>
- Godwin-Jones, R. (2024). Distributed agency in second language learning and teaching through generative AI. *Language Learning and Technology*, 28(2), 5–31.
- Kahlert School of Computing – School of Computing at The University of Utah. (2024).
<https://www.cs.utah.edu/>
- Kapoor, C. (2024, December 19). *Why Generative AI leaders must blend thinking, building and creating value*. World Economic Forum.
<https://www.weforum.org/stories/2024/12/why-generative-ai-leaders-must-blend-thinking-building-and-creating-value/>
- Kubota, R. (2023). Another contradiction in AI-assisted second language writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 62, 101069. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jslw.2023.101069>
- Kundu, A. (2023, September 26). *3 ways to harness the hidden curriculum*. ASCD.
<https://www.ascd.org/blogs/3-ways-to-harness-the-hidden-curriculum>
- Larsen-Freeman, D., & Anderson, M. (2011). *Techniques and principles in language teaching* (3rd ed). Oxford University Press.
- Margolis, E. (2001). *The hidden curriculum in higher education*.
- Martha Bradley Evans Center for Teaching Excellence. (2023). *AI Tool Guidance—Center for Teaching Excellence—The University of Utah*. AI Generative Tools.
<https://cte.utah.edu/instructor-education/ai-generative-tools.php>
- MIT Schwarzman College of Computing – MIT Ethical Technology Initiative. (2024). *MIT ETI*. <https://eti.mit.edu/review/mit-schwarzman-college-of-computing/>
- Mollick, E., & Mollick, L. (2023). Assigning AI: Seven approaches for students, with prompts. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4475995>
- One-U Responsible AI Initiative. (2024). One-U Responsible AI Initiative. <https://rai.utah.edu/>
- Pack, A., & Maloney, J. (2023a). Potential affordances of generative AI in language education: Demonstrations and an evaluative framework. *Teaching English With Technology*, 2023(2). <https://doi.org/10.56297/BUKA4060/VRRO1747>
- Pack, A., & Maloney, J. (2023b). Using generative artificial intelligence for language education research: Insights from using OpenAI's ChatGPT. *TESOL Quarterly*, 57(4), 1571–1582.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/tesq.3253>

- Phakeng, M. (2024, May 21). Sins of the hidden curriculum in our education. *Linked In*.
<https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/sins-hidden-curriculum-our-education-mamokgethi-phakeng-jgt5f/>
- Silicon Slopes and the U—Partnering in innovating excellence—@theU*. (2024).
<https://attheu.utah.edu/business/silicon-slopes-and-u-partnering-in-innovating-excellence/>
- Stockwell, G. (2024). ChatGPT in language teaching and learning: Exploring the road we're travelling. *Technology in Language Teaching & Learning*, 6(1), 2273.
<https://doi.org/10.29140/tltl.v6n1.2273>
- Student Perspective: My Summer of ML at AI4All Stanford*. (2022, August 22).
<https://hai.stanford.edu/news/student-perspective-my-summer-ml-ai4all-stanford>
- The MIT Quest for Intelligence*. (2024). Our Vision. <https://quest.mit.edu/about/vision-statement>
- The University of Utah Department of Writing and Rhetoric Studies. (2023). *Department of Writing and Rhetoric Studies—The University of Utah*. University of UTAH WRS A Response to ChatGPT. <https://writing.utah.edu/>
- University of Utah Office of the Vice President for Research. (2023a, July 13). *Guidance on the use of AI in research*. Guidance on the Use of AI in Research.
<https://attheu.utah.edu/facultystaff/vpr-statement-on-the-use-of-ai-in-research/>
- University of Utah Office of the Vice President for Research. (2023b, July 14). *VPR statement on the use of artificial intelligence (AI) in research*.
<https://www.research.utah.edu/announcements/use-of-artificial-intelligence-ai/>
- University of Washington launches Society + Technology with focus on AI and emerging technologies. (2024). *University of Washington Society + Technology*.
<https://depts.washington.edu/societytech/wordpress/2024/05/03/university-of-washington-launches-society-technology-with-focus-on-ai-and-emerging-technologies/>
- Vo, T. K. A., & Nguyen, H. (2024). Generative artificial intelligence and ChatGPT in language learning: EFL students' perceptions of technology acceptance. *Journal of University Teaching and Learning Practice*, 21(06), Article 06. <https://doi.org/10.53761/fr1rkj58>
- WEF leveraging generative AI for job augmentation and workforce productivity scenarios, case studies and a framework for action*. (2024).

https://reports.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Leveraging_Generative_AI_for_Job_Augmentation_and_Workforce_Productivity_2024.pdf

Zhou, X., Zhang, J., & Chan, C. (2024). Unveiling Students' Experiences and Perceptions of Artificial Intelligence Usage in Higher Education. *Journal of University Teaching and Learning Practice*, 21(06), Article 06. <https://doi.org/10.53761/xzjprb23>