International Journal of Artificial Intelligence, Computer Science, Management and Technology
Volume 2 Issue 3 JUL – SEP 2025 | International Peer Reviewed & Refereed Journal

Performance Evaluation of Classical Machine Learning Models Versus Deep Neural Networks

Naveen
Chander Shikhavat

Abstract

There has been a surge in interest in comparing the performance of traditional machine learning models versus deep neural networks across a variety of analytical tasks as a result of the rapid development of data-driven applications. In the field of machine learning, traditional methods such as logistic regression, decision trees, support vector machines, and k-nearest neighbors have been highly regarded for a considerable amount of time due to their ease of use, straightforwardness, and effectiveness. Deep neural networks, on the other hand, have become increasingly popular as a result of their superior capacity to learn intricate nonlinear patterns from high-dimensional datasets that are both huge and complicated. A comparative analysis of the performance of traditional machine learning models versus deep neural networks with regard to the accuracy, scalability, computing cost, and interpretability of the results. In order to evaluate classification performance under a variety of data sizes and feature complexity levels, experimental analysis is carried out on datasets that are representative of the whole. According to the findings, classical models frequently achieve competitive performance on datasets that are either smaller or well-structured, but deep neural networks exhibit superior performance on data settings that are both large-scale and complicated.

Keywords: Classical Machine Learning, Deep Neural Networks, Performance Evaluation, Model Comparison

Introduction

There has been a widespread adoption of machine learning techniques across a variety of fields, including healthcare, banking, manufacturing, and information systems, as a result of the rising availability of data and computer resources. In light of the fact that organizations are becoming more and more dependent on predictive models for decision-making, developing an effective learning strategy has become an extremely important topic. Classical machine learning models and deep neural networks are the two primary categories that dominate this terrain. Machine learning techniques that are considered to be classic, such as logistic regression, decision trees, support vector machines, k-nearest neighbors, and naïve Bayes, have been widely utilized due to their simplicity, efficiency, and interpretability. The performance of these models is often satisfactory for structured datasets that have a restricted number of dimensions. Additionally, they provide faster training times while incurring lower computing costs. Their transparent character also makes them useful for applications where model explainability is vital. The ability of deep neural networks, on the other hand, to autonomously learn complicated and nonlinear representations from huge and high-dimensional datasets has garnered a substantial amount of interest in recent years. When it comes to tasks that involve photos, text, audio, and other forms of unstructured data, deep learning models do exceptionally well because they make use of several hidden layers. On the other hand, this enhanced performance frequently comes at the expense of increased processing requirements, longer training times, and decreased interpretability. an analysis of the performance of traditional machine learning models in comparison to deep neural networks (DNNs). It is the purpose of this research to emphasize the various benefits and limits of these methodologies by comparing them across key parameters such as accuracy, scalability, computing efficiency, and interpretability. The findings are offered with the purpose of facilitating informed model selection and assisting practitioners in selecting appropriate strategies based on the features of the data and the requirements of the application.

Computational Complexity and Resource Utilization

One of the most important considerations to take into account when contrasting traditional machine learning models with deep neural networks is the computational complexity and resource utilization. The amount of time required for training, the capacity to scale, and the practicability of deploying models in real-world settings are all directly impacted by these aspects. Machine learning methods that are considered to be classical typically have lower computational requirements and are simpler to train, particularly when applied to datasets that are small to medium in size. It is often possible to implement models such as logistic regression, decision trees, and k-nearest neighbors in an efficient manner on ordinary hardware while consuming a small amount of memory. Deep neural networks, on the other hand, require a significant number of parameters and intricate mathematical procedures, particularly when numerous hidden layers are utilized. These models demand a significant amount of computational power, and they frequently rely on graphics processing units (GPUs) or other specialized hardware accelerators. The process of training can be time-consuming, particularly when dealing with big datasets, and it requires a significant amount of memory resources in order to store weights, gradients, and intermediate calculations. The consumption of resources also varies during the inference process. Classical models often offer faster prediction times and are ideally suited for applications that require real-time processing or have limited resources. Deep neural networks, despite their ability to achieve high levels of prediction accuracy, may have higher levels of latency and energy consumption during deployment. This may restrict their application in situations that are low-power or at the edge of the network.

Interpretability and Model Transparency

When comparing different techniques to machine learning, interpretability and model transparency are crucial factors to take into consideration. This is especially true in situations where conclusions have substantial ethical, legal, or social ramifications. Classical machine learning models are frequently favored in situations like these because the decision-making processes that they employ are very simple to comprehend and explain. Models like as linear regression, logistic regression, and decision trees enable practitioners to immediately examine the importance of features and decision rules. As a result, these models are suited for domains that need accountability and regulatory compliance. Deep neural networks, on the other hand, are sometimes referred to as black-box models due to the complexity of their topologies and the enormous number of parameters they include. It is more difficult to comprehend how various inputs contribute to final forecasts, despite the fact that they are capable of achieving a high level of statistical accuracy. This lack of transparency can lead to a reduction in trust in the results of the model, particularly in sensitive areas such as the diagnosis of medical conditions, the scoring of credit, and the making of legal decisions. Recent research has proposed a variety of explainable artificial intelligence strategies with the intention of enhancing the interpretability of deep learning models. A number of techniques, including feature attribution, layer-wise relevance propagation, and surrogate models, are utilized to assist in the provision of insights into the behavior of models without severely affecting performance. These methods, on the other hand, present an additional layer of complexity and may not always provide explanations that are comprehensive or intuitive.

Conclusion

The comparative analysis of traditional machine learning models and deep neural networks reveals that both methods have significant advantages and disadvantages, which are determined by the properties of the data, the computational resources available, and the requirements of the application. Classical machine learning models continue to be highly effective for structured datasets that have a restricted level of complexity. These models offer a number of benefits, including a reduced computing cost, faster training, and larger opportunities for interpretation. Because they possess these characteristics, they are ideally suited for applications in which transparency, efficiency, and ease of deployment are of utmost importance. Deep neural networks, on the other hand, are particularly effective at solving problems involving high-dimensional and large-scale data because they are able to learn complicated nonlinear representations. Their relevance in contemporary data-driven systems is shown by the fact that they demonstrated greater performance in tasks that involved unstructured data. On the other hand, greater performance comes with higher demands on computational resources as well as issues relating to interpretability and deployment in systems with limited resources. For the most part, the findings of the study highlight the fact that there is no model that is universally best for all cases. When selecting an effective model, it is important to strike a careful balance between accuracy, computational efficiency, and transparency. The development of hybrid and explainable learning approaches that combine the capabilities of classical and deep learning models should be the primary emphasis of future research. This will allow for machine learning systems that are more reliable, efficient, and trustworthy across a wide range of application domains.

Bibliography

Mitchell, T. M. (1997). *Machine Learning*. McGraw-Hill, New York.

Bishop, C. M. (2006). *Pattern Recognition and Machine Learning*. Springer, New York.

Hastie, T., Tibshirani, R., & Friedman, J. (2017). *The Elements of Statistical Learning: Data Mining, Inference, and Prediction* (2nd ed.). Springer.

LeCun, Y., Bengio, Y., & Hinton, G. (2015). Deep learning. *Nature*, 521(7553), 436–444.

Goodfellow, I., Bengio, Y., & Courville, A. (2016). *Deep Learning*. MIT Press.

Breiman, L. (2001). Random forests. *Machine Learning*, 45(1), 5–32.

Vapnik, V. N. (1998). *Statistical Learning Theory*. Wiley.

Srivastava, N., Hinton, G., Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I., & Salakhutdinov, R. (2014). Dropout: A simple way to prevent neural networks from overfitting. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 15(1), 1929–1958.

Ioffe, S., & Szegedy, C. (2015). Batch normalization: Accelerating deep network training by reducing internal covariate shift. *International Conference on Machine Learning*, 448–456.

Domingos, P. (2012). A few useful things to know about machine learning. *Communications of the ACM*, 55(10), 78–87.